

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

DANIELLE LLERA,

Plaintiff,

v.

TECH MAHINDRA (AMERICAS) INC., a  
Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

NO. 2:19-cv-00445-RSL

**TECH MAHINDRA (AMERICAS)  
INC.'S OPPOSITION TO  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO  
DETERMINE SUFFICIENCY OF  
DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO  
REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION**

**NOTED FOR CONSIDERATION:  
May 14, 2021**

Tech Mahindra (Americas) Inc. ("Tech Mahindra" or "Defendant") submits this Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Determine Sufficiency of Defendant's Responses to Requests for Admissions (the "Motion").

On April 22 and 27, 2021, Defendant advised Plaintiff that it will provide supplemental responses (in the form of an admission or denial) to all Requests for Admissions that are the subject of the Motion, i.e., RFA Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10, 11, 16, and 23 and 24. (*See* Sriv. Decl. ¶2; Dkt. 92). Plaintiff's Motion is thus moot, and the Court should deny an order compelling Defendant to "provide sufficient responses to Plaintiff's Requests for Admission, or in the alternative, deem the requests admitted." (Dkt. 92, at 7).

///



**CONCLUSION**

Tech Mahindra respectfully requests that the Court deny Plaintiff's Motion to Determine Sufficiency of Defendant's Responses to Requests for Admissions.

DATED this 10th day of May, 2021.

By: /s/ Kristin Nealey Meier

Kristin Nealey Meier, WSBA #33562  
RYAN, SWANSON & CLEVELAND, PLLC  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3400  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3034  
Tel: (206) 464-4224; Fax: (206) 583-0359  
[kmeier@ryanlaw.com](mailto:kmeier@ryanlaw.com)

By: /s/ Mark A. Konkel

Mark A. Konkel (pro hac vice)  
Nidhi Srivastava (pro hac vice)  
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP  
3 World Trade Center  
175 Greenwich Street  
Tel: (212) 808-7800; Fax: (212) 808-7897  
[mkonkel@kelleydrye.com](mailto:mkonkel@kelleydrye.com)  
[NSrivastava@KelleyDrye.com](mailto:NSrivastava@KelleyDrye.com)

*Attorneys for Defendant Tech Mahindra (Americas)  
Inc.*

